

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.       | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/655,828  | 09/05/2003  | Phillip Craig Graves | 62941.002015              | 7150             |  |
| 9653 969130911<br>LANDMARK INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, PLLC<br>19925 Stevens Creek Blvd,<br>Suite 100<br>Cupertino, CA 95014 |             |                      | EXAM                      | EXAMINER         |  |
|   |             |                      | SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT                  | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| ,   |             |                      | 3695                      |                  |  |
|   |             |                      |                           |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE                 | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |             |                      | 09/13/2011                | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## 10/655 828 GRAVES ET AL Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit NARAYANSWAMY 3695 SUBBAMANIAN All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) NARAYANSWAMY SUBRAMANIAN. (3)\_\_\_\_. (4) . (2) Gregory M. Murphy (Reg. No. 52,494). Date of Interview: 09 September 2011. Type: Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative ⊠ No If Yes, brief description: . Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion) Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 42. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...) Examiner Subramanian discussed some non-compliant amendments in the Supplemental response filed by the Applicants on August 4, 2011. Attorney Murphy agreed to file a compliant supplemental amendment based on the discussions . Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview. Examiner recordation instructions; Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an intensive should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised. ☐ Attachment /Naravanswamy Subramanian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695

Application No.

Applicant(s)